



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,462	10/27/2003	Alexander Krymski	M4065.0979/P979	2941
24998	7590	08/01/2005	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			NGUYEN, LINH V	
2101 L Street, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037			2819	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/693,462

Applicant(s)

KRYMSKI, ALEXANDER

Examiner

Linh V. Nguyen

Art Unit

2819

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34,36-39 and 44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30,33, 38 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 31,32,34,36,37,39 and 44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 01/04/05. Claims 31 – 34, 36 – 39 and 44 have been amended. Claims 40 – 43, 45, 46 and 47 have been canceled. Claims 1 – 34, 36 – 39 and 44 are pending on this application.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,864,820.

Regarding claim 31, Fig. 14 and 16A-D and Col. 12 lines 33 – 42 of Nakamura et al. disclose a method for converting an analog signal to a digital word comprising: measuring a magnitude of said analog signal (143, 145); if said magnitude is not greater than a predetermined threshold (Col. 7 lines 53 – 57), mapping (147) said magnitude to a digital word exclusively with a first transfer function (Col. 7 lines 53 – 57), and if said magnitude is at least equal to said predetermined (Col. 7 lines 57 – 61), mapping (149)

Art Unit: 2819

said magnitude to the digital word exclusively with a second transfer function (Col. 7 lines 57 – 61); wherein said first transfer function (147) is not included in said second transfer function (149), and said second transfer function (149) is not included in said first transfer function (147).

Regarding claim 32, wherein said first transfer function (147) maps each magnitude ( $A_{in}$ ) below said predetermined threshold ( $-1V$ ) to a corresponding reference signal (Fig. 12 [Nominal input range]) in a linear manner (Fig. 17C disclosing linearity mapping of  $A_{in}$  and  $D_{out}$  between  $-1$  and  $+1V$  of predetermined threshold voltage).

Regarding to claim 34, wherein said second transfer function (Fig. 16C) maps each magnitude at least equal to said predetermined threshold ( $1V$ ) to corresponding reference signals ((Fig. 12[Nominal input range]) in a logarithmic manner (Fig. 16C [ $2^{n+1}$ ])).

Regarding claims 36, 37 and 39, the claims incorporated substantially the same subject matter as of claims 31, 32 and 34 respectively, and rejected along the same rationale.

4. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tarnoff et al U.S. Patent No. 6,829,012.

Fig. 5 of Tarnoff et al. discloses of an imaging system comprising: a pixel array (54, 64, 74), an analog to digital converter circuit (12-Bit A-Ds) that receives analog signals (various colors level) from the pixel array and converts the analog signals to digital signals (output of 12-Bit A-Ds) with a variable level of quantization (Red level,

Art Unit: 2819

Green level, Blue level), said analog to digital convert (12-Bit A-Ds) circuit comprising a linear converter (12-Bit A-Ds; Col. 15 lines 47 - 48), for producing intermediate values (output of 12-Bits A-Ds) from said analog signals, and a processing circuit (Fig. 6 [500]) that remaps value said intermediated values produced by said linear converter (Output of 12 – Bit A- Ds) using mapping table (Fig. 6 [539]. Col. 17 lines 29 - 31).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claims 1 – 30, 33, 38 and 39 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 1, 11, and 21, in addition to other elements in each respective claim, the prior art fails to teach or suggest a control circuit for determining the digital word corresponding to the input signal by repeatedly: comparing the magnitude of the input signal with the magnitude of a most recently generated reference voltage of said sequence, incrementing said counter, and causing said ramp generator to generate a new one of said sequence until the magnitude of the most recently generated reference voltage of said sequence exceeds the magnitude of said input signal.

With respect to claims 33 and 38, in addition to other elements in each respective claim, the prior arts fail to teach or suggest converter having first and second transfer function wherein said second transfer function maps a set of non-sequential and

Art Unit: 2819

increasing magnitudes each at least equal to said predetermined threshold to corresponding reference signals in a linear manner.

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 44 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Under Remarks, on page 20, with respect to 44, applicant argued, "Tarnoff et al. does not implement any type of variable level of quantization". Examiner respectfully disagrees from the following:

Fig. 5 of Tarnoff et al. clearly disclosing a converter (55, 65, 65) for quantizing a variable of color level (Red level, Green level, Blue level).

### ***Contact Information***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh Van Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1810. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 – 5:00 Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Pascal can be reached at (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571-273-8300) for regular communications and (571-273-8300) for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2819

7/30/05

Linh Van Nguyen

Art Unit 2819

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Linh Van Nguyen', written in a cursive style.